

## Molecular and Endocrine Biomarkers in Non-Involved Breast: Relevance to Cancer Chemoprevention

Nitin T. Telang<sup>1</sup>, H. Leon Bradlow<sup>1</sup>, and Michael P. Osborne<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Carcinogenesis and Prevention and Division of Biochemical Endocrinology, Strang-Cornell Cancer Research Laboratory, New York, New York 10021

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, Cornell University Medical College/New York Hospital, New York, New York 10021

---

**Abstract** The animal models for chemoprevention of breast cancer have provided important experimental systems to evaluate the efficacy of tumor suppression by dietary macro- and micronutrients. In the initiation/promotion cascade, early occurring premalignant changes constitute less extensively examined aspects of disease progression. Molecular, endocrine and cellular biomarkers may provide clinically relevant endpoints for prevention of breast cancer that focus on downregulation of preneoplastic transformation. *In vitro* models derived from non-involved murine and human mammary tissues are utilized to identify molecular, endocrine and cellular markers that are perturbed in response to such diverse initiators as viruses and chemical carcinogens. This upregulation was manifested as persistent Ras p21-GTP binding, altered C16 $\alpha$ /C2 hydroxylation of estradiol, and hyperplasia preceding tumorigenesis. Prototypic chemopreventive agents such as n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, retinoids, and indole-3-carbinol were capable of downregulating all of the preneoplastic markers perturbed by initiators. Experimental modulation of these biomarkers in murine and human mammary tissue prior to the expression of a fully transformed tumorigenic phenotype is suggestive of their potential clinical application in chemopreventive intervention for breast cancer. © 1992 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

**Key words:** chemoprevention, *in vitro* models, estradiol metabolism, intermediate biomarker, mammary preneoplasia, Ras p21

---

Breast cancer is a multifactorial disease whose development is profoundly influenced by genetic, environmental and endocrine factors. The American Cancer Society has estimated that in 1991 approximately 175,000 new cases will be diagnosed and about 44,500 will die of the disease in the United States [1]. Only about 25% of the cases present conventional risk factors that include: i) family and/or personal history of the disease; ii) endocrine changes such as early menarche, late pregnancy, and nulliparity; and iii) pathological abnormalities such as fibrocystic disease and proliferative

breast lesions [2]. Thus, in a vast majority of breast cancer patients, there is no apparent disposition to the disease.

The high incidence of breast cancer combined with a lack of definitive evidence for its etiology and pathogenesis have prompted the development of reliable model systems that can provide fundamental information about the causative agents and risk factors, and facilitate meaningful evaluation of prevention strategies.

The commonly used laboratory models for breast cancer include the carcinogen-induced mammary cancer in rat and mouse, spontaneous mammary cancer in mouse, and the transplantable mammary tumor in mouse [3-5]. All these *in vivo* models have provided strong support to the concept that, independent of the nature of the initiating event, development of experimental breast cancer is modifiable by

---

Address reprint requests to Nitin T. Telang, Ph.D., Division of Carcinogenesis and Prevention, Strang-Cornell Cancer Research Laboratory, 510 East 73rd Street, New York, NY 10021 USA

exogenous manipulations (e.g., dietary interventions) and therefore is preventable. In these models, changes in tumor incidence, multiplicity, and latency have been the primary quantitative parameters. Since the major quantitative endpoint in the conventional *in vivo* animal models is overt cancer, experimental modulation of tumor growth reflects therapeutic rather than preventive efficacy. An equally important, but less extensively examined aspect, is efficacious intervention leading to primary prevention, i.e., suppression of first cancer. Such intervention is possible by experimental down-regulation of preneoplastic transformation that leads to the appearance of first cancer. Breast cancer develops through several distinct steps: initiation (mutagenesis of the target cell), promotion (immortalization and preneoplastic transformation), and progression (emergence of tumorigenic and metastatic phenotype). In the murine mammary system ductal hyperplasia and hyperplastic alveolar nodules are the recognized preneoplastic lesions that are at a greater risk for tumorigenic transformation than is the normal mammary epithelium [3–6]. In humans, mammary terminal duct lobular units (TDLU) with atypical hyperplasia are considered as high risk lesions for the development of breast cancer [7,8]. In principle, effective intervention of mutagenic perturbation of the mammary epithelial cell, acquisition of growth advantage of "mutant" cell, and formation of morphologically aberrant cellular lesions may provide alternative means for chemoprevention of breast cancer.

Efforts in several laboratories have been focused on developing reliable *in vitro* models where the above mentioned critical events in preneoplastic transformation can be examined directly on the target tissue at molecular, metabolic, endocrine and cellular levels. To this end, we have undertaken comparative studies on *in vitro* models derived from murine and human mammary tissue, with the goal of identifying common and unique sequences of events in initiation and promotion that are associated with tumorigenic transformation of mammary tissue from the two sources [6,9–16].

This review discusses the development of *in vitro* models, identification of specific biomarkers that are associated with initiation and/or promotion of preneoplastic transformation in

the models, and validation of the biomarkers as relevant endpoints for chemoprevention of preneoplastic transformation.

## IN VITRO MODELS

A major technical limitation of whole animal studies in the area of organ site carcinogenesis has been the limited utility of the experimental system to delineate the effects of carcinogens and/or modulators directly at the target tissue. Systemic and humoral factors are known to indirectly modify target tissue response to experimental manipulations [2–4]. As an alternative approach, *in vitro* models have been developed from non-involved target tissue to examine the effects of diverse initiators and modulators of carcinogenesis [6,9,10,13,17]. Mammary explant cultures retain the cellular heterogeneity that is intrinsic to the organ, and thus maintain epithelial-stromal interactions that are critical for proper epithelial morphogenesis and for overall responsiveness of the tissue to endocrine, autocrine or paracrine influences.

For the experiments utilizing the explant culture model, entire mammary glands from mice or intact TDLU from human mammary tissue are cultured in a chemically defined, serum-free medium that is supplemented with mammotropic hormones such as estrogen, progesterone, insulin, prolactin and hydrocortisone. Depending upon this hormonal stimulation, cell proliferation and cytodifferentiation can be induced in the epithelial component [6,9–13,15,17].

## INTERMEDIATE BIOMARKERS FOR TRANSFORMATION

These constitute a series of molecular, metabolic, endocrine and cellular endpoints such as genotoxic damage, DNA repair, mutagenic perturbation, oncogene expression, metabolism of carcinogens, hormones and xenobiotics and hyperproliferation/hyperplasia. In the development of cancer these biomarkers may be perturbed during phases of initiation and/or promotion leading to preneoplastic transformation [9,10,13,16–18]. Since these endpoints are detectable in non-involved tissue well before the

**TABLE I. Intermediate Biomarkers for Mammary Cell Transformation**

Phase of Transformation	Type of Biomarker	Endpoints
Initiation	Molecular	DNA damage, DNA repair, mutagenesis, oncogene expression
	Metabolic	Carcinogen-DNA adduct formation, DNA repair enzymes
Promotion	Metabolic	Metabolism of mammotropic hormones
	Cellular	Hyperproliferation/hyperplasia, anchorage-independent growth

appearance of overt cancer, they are referred to as intermediate biomarkers.

A systematic identification of endpoints that are associated with initiation/promotion of preneoplastic transformation should provide a means to accurately quantify the extent of transformation in the non-involved target tissue prior to the appearance of tumor. Furthermore, effective downregulation of the events perturbed during transformation will provide definitive evidence for successful chemoprevention. The intermediate biomarkers listed in Table 1 are specific to one or more critical events in initiation and promotion of mammary cell transformation at molecular, metabolic, endocrine and cellular levels.

In our investigations with the *in vitro* models of mammary explant culture, we have chosen to monitor the alterations in Ras p21-GTP binding, estradiol biotransformation and hyperproliferation as molecular, endocrine and cellular intermediate biomarkers, respectively.

#### MOLECULAR BIOMARKER

Ras expression plays a fundamental role in signal transduction and mitogenesis. The oncogene product Ras p21 noncovalently binds and hydrolyses guanine nucleotide, influencing positive and negative regulation of transduction of mitogenic signal to promote growth [19]. Elevation and/or persistence of GTP binding activity of Ras p21 may be a manifestation of a

continuous mitogenic signal resulting in unrestrained growth. This could occur via deregulated expression of normal proto-oncogene, or via expression of point mutated oncogene, both of which are known to cause tumorigenic transformation [17,19,20,37,39,40]. We have measured the alterations in GTP binding of endogenous Ras p21 by determining the extent of GTP bound to the G proteins, and confirming the specific Ras p21 binding by immunoprecipitation [12,26,30].

#### ENDOCRINE BIOMARKER

The well documented endocrine responsiveness of the mammary tissue has prompted several investigations to examine the influence of such mammotropic hormones as estradiol, progesterone, prolactin, and glucocorticoids on the process of proliferation, cytodifferentiation and neoplastic transformation [3-6,8,10,13,16,36,38]. Experimental as well as clinical *in vivo* studies on the relative extent of estradiol biotransformation have demonstrated significant elevation of the 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxylation pathway in mouse strains that differ in relative risk for developing mammary tumors [21], human subjects at elevated breast cancer risk [22], and patients with clinically identifiable breast cancer [23,24].

In an effort to identify an endocrine biomarker capable of measuring relative risk for developing breast cancer, previous studies have

focused on the biological effects of various intermediate metabolites generated during metabolic biotransformation of the natural estrogen, 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2). The two metabolites 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxyestrone (16 $\alpha$ -OHE<sub>1</sub>) and 2-hydroxyestrone (2-OHE<sub>1</sub>) possess estrogenic and antiestrogenic properties, respectively. These metabolites are generated via the cytochrome P450-dependent exclusive hydroxylations at C16 $\alpha$  or C2 positions of E2. We have measured the alterations in estrogen metabolism using a radiometric assay that involves exposure to stereospecifically labeled estradiol and measurement of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O formed due to a stoichiometric tritium exchange from the ligand [20,21,24,28].

### CELLULAR BIOMARKER

One of the most frequently documented cellular intermediate biomarkers having increased risk for tumorigenic conversion is atypical hyperproliferation and/or hyperplasia [7,8,10,11,13,20,26,29,30]. These cellular changes have been detected by the mammary fat pad transplantation assay [6,10,11,13]. Studies with non-transformed target tissue can specifically recognize the preneoplastic and neoplastic transformation induced by diverse initiators such as metabolism-dependent carcinogens, metabolism-independent mutagens, transforming retrovirus, and cellular oncogenes [10,11-13,15,20,26,29,30], all of which are tumorigenic in the rodent model [3-5,10,13].

### INDUCTION OF PRENEOPLASTIC TRANSFORMATION

In an effort to systemically characterize the process of preneoplastic transformation, we have measured the upregulation of molecular, endocrine, metabolic and cellular biomarkers in the non-involved rodent and human mammary tissue maintained *in vitro* as explant culture (Table 2). It was interesting to observe that both murine as well as human mammary tissue respond to the carcinogenic insult by exhibiting upregulation in GTP binding of Ras p21, the molecular marker. Chemical carcinogens that produce tumorigenic transformation of the mammary epithelium are also known to induce point mutations in Ras genes at codons 12, 13, or 61 [17,19,31]. Although elevated levels of the

Ras mRNA and protein have been detected in primary human tumors [32,33,37], activation of the Ras oncogene by point mutation has rarely been observed [32]. This raises the possibility that downregulated expression of Ras proto-oncogene, rather than amplification of point mutated Ras oncogene, may be associated with progression of human breast cancer. In accord, our studies utilizing the Ras p21-GTP binding assay have shown specific perturbation of this molecular biomarker in response to chemical carcinogens, as well as to mammary tumor virus [12,26,30].

Our recent studies on non-involved mammary tissue of murine and human origin have examined the perturbation of the endocrine biomarker by measuring E2 metabolism via 2-hydroxylation and 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxylation pathways [25,26,28]. It is noteworthy that similar to our observations on Ras p21-GTP binding, murine as well as human mammary tissue exhibit increased amounts of 16 $\alpha$ -OHE<sub>1</sub> after carcinogenic initiation [17,20,26].

Comparative studies with "low risk" mammary specimens and "high risk" mastectomy specimens revealed higher constitutive levels of the two biomarkers in the latter. These TDLU were also hyper-responsive to carcinogenic insult [12,17], as seen by a higher extent of Ras p21-GTP binding and increased 16 $\alpha$ -OHE<sub>1</sub> formation. Altered metabolism of estradiol at the target tissue may be a critical event influencing cell proliferation, neoplastic transformation or both within the organ. A specific increase in estradiol 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxylation in the target tissue well before the appearance of cancer may thus constitute a useful endocrine biomarker of cancer risk [21,23,24]. While the incidence of a cellular marker (preneoplastic hyperplasia) in mouse tissues corresponded with the perturbed expression of molecular and endocrine markers [15,20,26,30], a similar correlation in the human tissues remains to be demonstrated.

### EXPERIMENTAL MODULATION OF PRENEOPLASTIC TRANSFORMATION

*In vivo* experiments with animal models have demonstrated that mammary tumorigenesis can be modulated by dietary intervention. The type,

**TABLE II. Transformation-Associated Perturbation of Intermediate Biomarker in Mammary Explant Cultures<sup>a</sup>**

Experimental System	Intermediate Biomarker			
	RAS p21-GTP	16 $\alpha$ -OHE <sub>1</sub>	2-OHE <sub>1</sub>	Hyperplasia
<b>Mouse</b>				
C57/BL	+	+	++	-
BALB/c-DMSO <sup>b</sup>	2-3 $\times$ ↑	nt	nt	5-8 $\times$ ↑
BALB/c-NMU	2-3 $\times$ ↑	8-10 $\times$ ↑	decreased	8-10 $\times$ ↑
BALB/c-DMBA	2-5 $\times$ ↑	3-5 $\times$ ↑	nt	8-10 $\times$ ↑
<b>Human</b>				
TDLU-LR <sup>c</sup>	+	+	++	-
TDLU-LR/BP	2-4 $\times$ ↑	2-4 $\times$ ↑	nt	nt
TDLU-HR	2-4 $\times$ ↑	2-4 $\times$ ↑	++	nt
TDLU-HR/BP	5-8 $\times$ ↑	5-8 $\times$ ↑	decreased	nt
TDLU-HR/NMU	8-10 $\times$ ↑	nt	nt	nt

<sup>a</sup> Summarized from References #9,11,15,17,26,30,34.

<sup>b</sup> DMSO: dimethylsulfoxide; NMU: N-nitroso-N-methylurea; DMBA: 7,12-dimethylbenz-(a)anthracene; ↑: increased relative to the appropriate control; nt: not tested.

<sup>c</sup> TDLU-LR: terminal duct lobular units from non-cancerous mammary; BP: benzo(a)pyrene; TDLU-HR: terminal duct lobular units from cancerous mastectomy; LR: low risk (absence of cancer); HR: high risk (presence of cancer).

amount, and total calorie content of dietary fat appear to be major determinants in altering tumor growth. In general, diets rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids of n-6 family (n-6 PUFA) promote the development of experimental mammary tumors, whereas those rich in n-3 family (n-3 PUFA), saturated fatty acids, and calorie restricted diets suppress tumor incidence and multiplicity. Similarly, hyperalimentation with retinoids and administration of antiestrogenic agents are also known to suppress tumor development [2-6,9]. These conventional animal models for experimental mammary carcinogenesis have thus provided important leads in understanding the mechanism(s) for dietary modulation of mammary tumors. In contrast, very little definitive information is available as regards the influence of dietary agents on early occurring initiational and promotional events leading to preneoplastic transformation. This

information may play a critical role in studies evaluating chemopreventive efficacy of clinically relevant dietary interventions.

The evidence for dietary modulation of human breast cancer, however, is largely derived from epidemiologic studies [2,5]. Conventional western European and American diets are rich in n-6 PUFA, while the conventional oriental and Japanese diets are rich in n-3 PUFA. These dietary habits have been correlated with breast cancer incidence in the respective countries. Given the multistep progression of mammary tumors, it is now important to examine whether dietary agents identified as important in epidemiologic studies are also effective in inhibiting, retarding and/or suppressing early occurring initiational and promotional events of preneoplastic transformation.

In previous studies we have utilized the murine mammary explant culture system to

**TABLE III. Experimental Modulation of Transformation-Associated Biomarkers in Mammary Explant Culture by Chemopreventive Agents<sup>a</sup>**

Experimental System <sup>b</sup>	Chemopreventive Agent <sup>c</sup>	Intermediate Biomarker		
		RAS p21-GTP	16 $\alpha$ -OHE <sub>1</sub>	Hyperplasia
<b>Mouse</b>				
RIII	LNA	5-10 $\times$ ↑	nt	2-5 $\times$ ↑
	ARA	5-10 $\times$ ↑	nt	2-5 $\times$ ↑
	EPA	2-5 $\times$ ↓	nt	2-5 $\times$ ↓
	STA	nt	nt	2-5 $\times$ ↓
BALB/c-DMBA	LNA	2-5 $\times$ ↑	2-5 $\times$ ↑	2-3 $\times$ ↑
	ARA	2-5 $\times$ ↑	2-5 $\times$ ↑	2-3 $\times$ ↑
	EPA	2 $\times$ ↓	2 $\times$ ↓	2-3 $\times$ ↓
	DHA	2 $\times$ ↓	2 $\times$ ↓	2-3 $\times$ ↓
<b>Human</b>				
TDLU-HR	LNA	2-5 $\times$ ↑	4 $\times$ ↑	nt
	EPA	2-3 $\times$ ↓	2 $\times$ ↓	nt
	I3C	nt	2-5 $\times$ ↓	nt
TDLU/BP	LNA	2 $\times$ ↑	2-5 $\times$ ↑	nt
	EPA	4 $\times$ ↓	2 $\times$ ↓	nt

<sup>a</sup> Summarized from References #6,9,15,17,26,30,34.

<sup>b</sup> RIII: mammary explant cultures from RIII strain; BALB/c-DMBA: mammary explant cultures treated with DMBA from BALB/c strain; TDLU-HR: human mammary terminal duct lobular unit from cancerous breast; TDLU/BP: TDLU explant cultures treated with benzo(a)pyrene; ↑: increased relative to the appropriate control; ↓: decreased relative to the appropriate control; nt: not tested.

<sup>c</sup> LNA: linoleic acid (C18:2,n-6); ARA: arachidonic acid (C20:4,n-6); EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5,n-3); I3C: indole-3-carbinol.

examine whether selected fatty acids or retinoids can suppress preneoplastic hyperplasia that is induced by murine mammary tumor virus and chemical carcinogen [6,9,15,26,34]. The results presented in Table 3 summarize our studies on modulation of intermediate biomarkers in mouse and human mammary explant cultures by prototypic modulators. All the fatty acids tested were capable of modulating constitutive as well as the induced levels of molecular, endocrine and cellular biomarkers. Independent

of the type of initiator (e.g., oncogenic retrovirus or chemical carcinogen) selected n-6 PUFA perturbed the biomarkers. In contrast, selected n-3 PUFA, saturated fatty acids, retinoid and indole-3-carbinol downregulated all the biomarkers examined. These observations are consistent with the documented modulation of tumorigenesis *in vivo* that is achieved by hyperalimentation [3,5].

It was interesting to note that n-6 and n-3 PUFA were similarly responsive in human

mammary explant cultures. The constitutive levels of Ras p21-GTP binding and 16 $\alpha$ -OHE<sub>1</sub> formation in TDLU-high risk (HR) (from mastectomy specimen of cancerous breast, and therefore at high risk) were enhanced by linoleic acid (LNA), and suppressed by eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA). A similar trend in the modulation was also observed in TDLU-HR treated in culture with the chemical carcinogen benzo(a)-pyrene (BP).

The mechanisms underlying the modulation of Ras p21 binding and of alteration in estradiol metabolism by fatty acids in our *in vitro* systems are unclear at present. With regards to the GTP binding activity, it is possible that the fatty acids may differentially regulate the GTPase activating protein (GAP). Consistent with such a possibility are recent reports that have demonstrated altered GAP activity by mitogenic lipids [17]. The alteration in estradiol biotransformation by PUFAs may reflect their indirect effects on hormone-mediated cell proliferation, since these agents are potent mitogens for the mammary tissue [6,9,30,34,35]. The mitogenicity of estradiol is associated with the elevation of the 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxylation pathway, leading to the generation of 16 $\alpha$ OHE<sub>1</sub> and persistent binding of this intermediate metabolite to the nuclear estrogen receptor [17,21,23,36,38].

#### CLINICAL RELEVANCE OF LABORATORY STUDIES

Human breast cancer is considered a sporadic disease since less than 25% of women express conventional familial risk factors. Thus, there is a pressing need for more sensitive and specific markers that can effectively identify women at elevated risk for developing the disease. Once identified, these women could then be directed toward aggressive primary prevention programs, including dietary intervention.

Attempts to correlate amplification/overexpression of Ras with human breast cancer progression have not been very successful [17,19,32,33,37]. However, carcinogen induction of point mutations in Ras in non-involved rodent mammary cells [17,31,40], together with the fundamental role of the oncogene in signal transduction, warrants a systematic analysis of Ras expression in high risk non-involved human

tissue to validate Ras expression as a clinically relevant molecular marker for risk assessment.

Endocrine responsiveness of human cancer is fundamental to adjuvant therapy, and more than half of the conventional risk factors are either directly or indirectly related to alteration in endocrine milieu. Our recent *in vitro* experiments on estradiol metabolism in the target tissue [17,20,25,26,28,30] suggest that constitutive and/or induced alterations in metabolic pathways leading to formation of 16 $\alpha$ -OHE<sub>1</sub> and/or 2-OHE<sub>1</sub> may also play an important role in cell transformation. Thus, *in vitro* models may provide a system to examine the relationship between altered endocrine responsiveness during initiation of tumorigenic transformation.

In the context of preventive intervention, effective modulation of molecular, endocrine and cellular biomarkers of preneoplastic transformation by dietary components [2,9,13,15,17,22,27] provide a potential application of the present *in vitro* model in chemopreventive strategies for human breast cancer.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research in the Division of Carcinogenesis and Prevention, Strang-Cornell Cancer Research Laboratory is supported by NIH Grant #R29 CA 44741 (NTT), Clinical Nutrition Research Unit Program Project Grant #PO1 CA 29502 and the Wanda Jablonski Fund (MPO). The authors wish to thank Dr. Paula A. Kiberstis for a critical perusal of the manuscript and helpful suggestions, Milan Zoanovic for technical assistance, and Lana Winter for expert secretarial assistance.

#### REFERENCES

1. Boring CC, Squires BA, Tong T: Cancer statistics, 1991. *CA Cancer J Clin* 41:19-36, 1991.
2. Osborne MP, Telang NT: Primary prevention of breast cancer. In "The Breast: Comprehensive Management of Benign and Malignant Diseases." Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1991, pp 246-261.
3. Welsch CW: Host factors affecting the growth of carcinogen-induced rat mammary carcinomas: a review and tribute to Charles Brenton Huggins. *Cancer Res* 45:3415-3443, 1985.
4. Welsch CW: Rodent models to examine *in vivo* hormonal regulation of mammary gland tumorigenesis. In "Cellular and Molecular Biology of Mammary Cancer." New York: Plenum Press

- 1987, pp 163–179.
5. Welsch CW: Enhancement of mammary tumorigenesis by dietary fat: an endocrine and/or calorie mechanism. In "Carcinogenesis and Dietary Fat." Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989, pp 115–132.
  6. Telang NT: Fatty acid-induced modifications of mouse mammary epithelium as studied in an organ culture and cell culture system. In "Dietary Fat and Cancer." New York: AR Liss, 1986, pp 707–728.
  7. Page DL, Dupont WD, Rogers LW, Rados MS: Atypical hyperplastic lesions of the female breast: a long-term follow-up study. *Cancer* 55:2698–2708, 1985.
  8. Squartini F, Bistocchi M, Saranelli R, Basolo F: Early pathologic changes in experimental and human breast cancer: facts and comments. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 464:231–261, 1986.
  9. Telang NT, Bockman RS, Modak MJ, Osborne MP: The role of fatty acids in murine and human mammary carcinogenesis: an *in vitro* approach. In "Carcinogenesis & Dietary Fat." Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989, pp 423–451.
  10. Banerjee MR: An *in vitro* model for neoplastic transformation of epithelial cells in an isolated whole mammary gland of the mouse. In "In Vitro Models for Cancer Research." Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1986, pp 69–114.
  11. Telang NT, Banerjee MR, Iyer AP, Kundu AB: Neoplastic transformation of epithelial cells in whole mammary gland *in vitro*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 76:5886–5890, 1979.
  12. Telang NT, Basu A, Modak MJ, Osborne MP: Cellular *ras* protooncogene expression in human mammary explant cultures. A potential marker for chemical carcinogenesis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 586:230–237, 1990.
  13. Banerjee MR, Chakraborty S, Kinder D, Manoharan K, Menon R: Cell biology of mouse mammary carcinogenesis in organ culture. In "Cellular and Molecular Biology of Mammary Cancer." New York: Plenum Press, 1987, pp 353–379.
  14. Tonelli QJ, Custer RP, Sorof S: Transformation of cultured mouse mammary glands by aromatic amines and amides and their derivatives. *Cancer Res* 39:1784–1792, 1979.
  15. Telang NT, Sarkar NH: Long-term survival of adult mouse mammary glands in culture and their response to a retinoid. *Cancer Res* 43:4891–4900, 1983.
  16. Russo J, Russo IH: Biological and molecular basis of mammary carcinogenesis. *Lab Invest* 57:112–137, 1987.
  17. Telang NT, Osborne MP: *ras* oncogene: a novel molecular biomarker for breast cancer susceptibility and prevention. In "Current Perspectives in Molecular and Cellular Oncology." Greenwich, CT: Jai Press, Vol. 1(B), 1991, pp 89–111.
  18. Lipkin M: Application of intermediate biomarkers to studies of cancer prevention in the gastrointestinal tract: introduction and perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 54:188S–192S, 1991.
  19. Bos JL: The *ras* gene family and human carcinogenesis. *Mutat Res* 195:255–271, 1988.
  20. Telang NT, Narayanan R, Bradlow HL, Osborne MP: Coordinated expression of intermediate biomarkers for tumorigenic transformation in Ras-transfected mouse mammary epithelial cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 18:155–163, 1991.
  21. Bradlow HL, Herschcopf RJ, Martucci CP, Fishman J: Estradiol 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxylation in the mouse correlates with mammary tumor incidence and presence of murine mammary tumor virus: a possible model for the hormonal etiology of breast cancer in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 82:6295–6299, 1985.
  22. Osborne MP, Karmali RA, Bradlow HL, Kourides IA, Williams WR, Rosen PP, Fishman J: Omega-3 fatty acids: modulation of estrogen metabolism and potential for breast cancer prevention. *Cancer Invest* 6:629–631, 1988.
  23. Fishman J, Martucci CP: Biological properties of 16 $\alpha$ -hydroxyestrone: implications in estrogen physiology and pathophysiology. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 51:611–615, 1980.
  24. Schneider J, Kinne D, Fracchia A, Pierce V, Anderson KE, Bradlow HL, Fishman J: Abnormal oxidative metabolism of estradiol in women with breast cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 79:3047–3051, 1982.
  25. Telang NT, Axelrod DM, Bradlow HL, Osborne MP: Metabolic biotransformation of estradiol in human mammary explant cultures. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 586:70–78, 1990.
  26. Telang NT, Kurihara H, Wong GY, Bradlow HL, Osborne MP: Preneoplastic transformation in mouse mammary tissue: identification and validation of intermediate biomarkers for chemoprevention. *Anticancer Res* 11:1021–1027, 1991.
  27. Osborne MP, Telang NT, Kaur S, Bradlow HL: Influence of chemopreventive agents on estradiol metabolism and mammary preneoplasia in the C3H mouse. *Steroids* 55:114–119, 1990.
  28. Telang NT, Bradlow HL, Kurihara H, Osborne MP: *In vitro* biotransformation of estradiol by explant cultures of murine mammary tissues. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 13:173–181, 1989.
  29. Telang NT, Osborne MP, Sweterlitsch LA, Narayanan R: Neoplastic transformation of mouse mammary epithelial cells by deregulated *myc* expression. *Cell Regulation* 1:863–872, 1990.
  30. Telang NT, Basu A, Kurihara H, Osborne MP, Modak MJ: Modulation in the expression of murine mammary tumor virus, *ras* proto-oncogene, and of alveolar hyperplasia by fatty acids in mouse mammary explant cultures. *Anticancer Res* 8:971–976, 1988.
  31. Sukumar S: An experimental analysis of cancer: role of *ras* oncogenes in multistep carcinogenesis.



- Cancer Cells 2:199–204, 1990.
32. Spandidos DA, Agnantis NJ: Human malignant tumours of the breast, as compared to their respective normal tissue, have elevated expression of the Harvey *ras* oncogene. *Anticancer Res* 4:269–272, 1984.
  33. Watson DMA, Elton RA, Jack WJ, Dixon JM, Chetty U, Miller WR: The *H-ras* oncogene product p21 and prognosis in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 17:161–169, 1991.
  34. Telang NT, Bockman RS, Sarkar NH: Fatty acid-induced modifications of mouse mammary alveolar lesions in organ culture. *Carcinogenesis* 5:1123–1127, 1984.
  35. Balkrishnan A, Cramer S, Bandyopadhyay GK, Imagawa W, Yang J, Elias J, Beattie CW, Das Gupta TK, Nandi S: Differential proliferative response to linoleate in cultures of epithelial cells from normal human breast and fibroadenomas. *Cancer Res* 49:857–862, 1989.
  36. Siiteri PK, Simberg N, Murai J: Estrogens and breast cancer. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 464:100–105, 1986.
  37. Whittaker JL, Walker RA, Varley JM: Differential expression of cellular oncogenes in benign and malignant human breast tissue. *Int J Cancer* 38:651–655, 1986.
  38. Mauvais-Jarvis P, Kuttenn F, Gompel A: Estradiol/progesterone interaction in normal and pathologic breast cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 464:152–167, 1986.
  39. Clark R, Stampfer MR, Milley R, O'Rourke E, Walen KH, Kriegler M, Kopplin J, McCormick F: Transformation of human mammary epithelial cells by oncogenic retroviruses. *Cancer Res* 48:4689–4694, 1988.
  40. Miyamoto S, Guzman RC, Shiurba RA, Firestone GL, Nandi S: Transfection of activated *Ha-ras* protooncogenes causes mouse mammary hyperplasia. *Cancer Res* 50:6010–6014, 1990.